Introduction

There are occasions when stakeholders (for example trainees) provide comment relating to RANZCP Fellowship training outside of an accreditation assessment (or “visit”). On such occasions it is not possible for the information to be included in the accreditation assessment report. There are, however, options to provide feedback, separate from accreditation assessments. Requests for anonymity can be made.

In providing feedback, it is important to be aware that Branch Training Committees (BTCs) and the New Zealand Training Committee (NZTC) are responsible for the accreditation of individual training posts in Fellowship programs.

Feedback options

Separate meetings with the accreditation panel occur during accreditation assessments / visits with trainees, supervisors, and health service management. Should an issue or feedback be received outside of the meetings during an accreditation assessment, it may be considered for referral as appropriate with the permission of the person involved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feedback received outside of accreditation visit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matter considered to be site- or post- related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matter referred as appropriate to the BTC or the NZTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matter considered to be program-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matter referred as appropriate, for example to the AC (or sometimes the CFT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional pathways exist for submitting feedback to the RANZCP including:

Mid-cycle accreditation reviews

Between five-yearly accreditation assessments, each Fellowship program is reviewed by the Accreditation Committee (AC). The review includes surveys of trainees and Directors of Training (DOTs). Trainees and DOTs are asked about their views on compliance with the Fellowship Program Accreditation Standards and are invited to provide comment on the status of the recommendations of the previous accreditation visit. The outcome of a mid-cycle accreditation review is reported to the AC and made available to BTCs and the NZTC.

RANZCP email addresses

accreditation@ranzcp.org is the address for RANZCP staff who support the AC. This is the most appropriate place to submit feedback about a Fellowship program.

training@ranzcp.org is the address for RANZCP staff who support the Committee for Training (CFT). This is the most appropriate place to submit feedback about a training post where direct contact to the BTC or NZTC is not successful in the first instance.
**End of rotation In-Training Assessment form**

This form provides an opportunity for trainees to inform the RANZCP of feedback relating to a rotation’s adherence to Fellowship training regulations, for example provision of supervision. Where training concerns are identified, the CFT will confer with the BTC or the NZTC to review.

**Confidentiality**

RANZCP staff are available to receive feedback submitted to the RANZCP. Information received will be deidentified as appropriate.

The “member welfare support line” is available for support and confidential advice: 1800 941 002 (AUS) or 0800 220 728 (NZ).

Full details are available on the RANZCP website: [https://www.ranzcp.org/membership/wellbeing-support-for-members/member-welfare-support-line](https://www.ranzcp.org/membership/wellbeing-support-for-members/member-welfare-support-line).

**Conflict of Interest**

Conflicts of interests may arise in situations where an individual may actually or potentially benefit, or be disadvantaged, by a particular matter. Conflicts of interest are managed in accordance with the RANZCP [Conflict of Interest Guideline](https://www.ranzcp.org/membership/wellbeing-support-for-members/member-welfare-support-line), which includes disclosure as a standing agenda item at the commencement of RANZCP committee meetings.

**Conclusion**

Accreditation panel members are available during meetings of an accreditation assessment / visit. The Lead Member of the panel will inform meetings of options for submitting feedback outside of the accreditation assessment. It is not possible for feedback received outside of an accreditation assessment to be included in an accreditation assessment report.

Stakeholders are always welcome to provide feedback to, and/or engage with, the RANZCP.